

248.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.3795 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.03.2016

Shriram General Insurance Company Limited

... Appellant

versus

Gurpreet Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Jagjit Singh, Advocate,
for Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate,
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ? No.
2. To be referred to the reporters or not ? No.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?No.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. 1st respondent, who is the claimant has been served through father. Service deemed sufficient. None appears.

2. The only point that is urged is that Ex.CW2/A which comprises of the patient bill is repeated as Ex.CW2/L and the Tribunal has allowed for duplication of the claim of ₹ 9,80,000/- twice over. Copies of documents have been exhibited as Annexures A1 and A2 before this court. I find that one is an exact reproduction of the other. The Tribunal has failed to notice that it was allowing

for duplication of a major head of claim. The award already passed stands modified deducting ₹9,80,000/- which is granted twice over in the manner indicated above. The rest of the award shall stand.

3. The appeal is allowed to the above extent.

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE

10.03.2016
sanjeev