

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 5509 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision: Janaury 14, 2016.

ICICI Lombard General Insurance Company Limited

..... Appellant

VS.

K.R.Vemban and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KANNAN

Present: Mr. Subhash Goyal, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondents.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

K.KANNAN,J (Oral)

1. The appeal brings the only point for consideration as the choice of multiplier applicable for a claim by a parent, when the death is of the son. The issue has been considered in Munna Lal Jain vs. Vipin Kumar Sharma 2015 (6) SCC 347 to hold that it is a age of the deceased that will be the governing consideration for the choice of multiplier. The court has adopted the correct multiplier and there is no ground for interference.

2. The appeal is dismissed.

JANUARY 14, 2016

Rajeev

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE