

248

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.206 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 04.04.2016

New India Assurance Company Ltd.

..... Appellant

Versus

Veero and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. R.C. Kapoor, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. A.K. Spehia, Advocate
for respondents No.1,2,6 and 7.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CM No.6583-CII of 2016

This is an application for impleading the applicants i.e. widow and minor daughter as respondents No.6 and 7.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that he has no objection.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the application is allowed subject to all just exceptions. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

FAO No.206 of 2016

This appeal has been filed against the award dated 14.09.2015 granting compensation to the parents of the deceased.

On 12.01.2016, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel argues that in the cross-examination

respondent No.1-claimant had admitted that the deceased was married and also had a daughter but this fact was not mentioned in the claim petition and the compensation has wrongly been awarded only to the parties.

Notice of motion only to respondents No.1 and 2 at this stage.

Learned counsel undertakes to serve respondents No.1 and 2 by way of dasti process. May do so. Dasti only.

Adjourned to 15.02.2016.”

Today, learned counsel for respondents has stated that out of the total compensation of ₹6,98,000/-, an amount of ₹02 lacs be apportioned towards respondent No.1 and ₹02 lacs to respondent No.7. I find this to be fair.

Ordered accordingly.

The amount falling to the share of respondent No.7 minor along with applicable interest thereon be put in a fixed deposit which shall be disbursed to her on attaining majority. The remaining amount of ₹2,98,000/- along with interest applicable thereon be handed over to respondent No.6.

Learned counsel for the Insurance Company states that he is also satisfied with this distribution.

Consequently, appeal filed by the Insurance Company is disposed of in the above terms.

Cross-objections are admitted. To be referred to the Lok Adalat.

April 04, 2016
jt

(**AJAY TEWARI**)
JUDGE