

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

122

FAO No.1943 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: April 11, 2016

Anju

...Appellant

Versus

General Public

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Amit Sheoran, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

CM No.7464-CII of 2016

Prayer in this application is for condonation of delay of 145 days in re-filing the appeal.

The reason assigned for the delay is that after the filing of the appeal, Registry had raised certain objections and the paper book was taken back but inadvertently the same was put in a wrong brief. Case was traced out and then re-filed after removal of the objections, which resulted in delay of 145 days in re-filing the appeal. The application is supported by the affidavit of the Clerk of the counsel.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed.

Delay of 145 days in re-filing the appeal stands condoned.

FAO No.1943 of 2016

In the light of the judgment of this Court in *Jatinder Kaur and another Versus Jaskirat Kaur and others*, 2009(2) PLR 701 and a Division Bench of Calcutta High Court in *Smt. Shyamali Middy (Alias Mirdha) Versus Smt. Anjana alias Hemangini Ari*, 2004(2) ICC 736,

the appellant may avail of the remedy of appeal before the District Judge, Bhiwani, within a period of 15 days from today.

In case the appeal is filed within the time as granted by this Court, the Court may, if an application is moved for condonation of delay, shall consider the same sympathetically.

April 11, 2016
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE