

245
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 4403 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 30.8.2016

Babli and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Surender Singh and ors

.....Respondents.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA.

Present: - None

Rajan Gupta, J.

....

None has put in appearance on behalf of the appellants. Similar was the situation on the last four dates of hearing. On 27.5.2016 following order was passed: -

“It is noticed that there is no representation on behalf of the appellants on the last three occasions. Notice could not be issued to respondent No. 2 as process fee has not been filed by learned counsel for the appellants.

In the interest of justice, another opportunity is afforded to learned counsel for the appellants to deposit process fee within two weeks. On deposit of process fee, let notice be issued to respondent No. 2 for 30.08.2016. In case, needful is not done by learned counsel for the appellants, the present appeal may be dismissed for non-prosecution.”

Registry has reported that notice to respondent No.2 could not be issued due to non-filing of process fee by learned counsel for the appellants.

Dismissed for non prosecution.

**(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE**

30.8.2016
preeti