

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

ARCHANA ARORA
10:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

FAO No. 1533 of 2016(O&M)
Date of decision : March 15, 2016

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (UHBVN)

..... Appellant

Versus

M/s Laxmi Transformers and Electricals and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Naresh Markanda, Senior Advocate with
Mr. R. S. Madan, Advocate and
Ms. Sonia Madan, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for the caveator-respondent No.1.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

Amit Rawal, J (oral).

This Court has already adjudicated upon the controversy in FAO No. 6215 of 2015 decided on 20.10.2015. The controversy involved in the present case is also identical to the aforementioned appeal.

Keeping in view the order passed in FAO No. 6215 of 2015, no ground for interference is made out. The present appeal is dismissed in terms of the same.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

March 15, 2016
archana