

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

**CM No.12936-37-CI of 2016 and
RFA No.1154 of 2012(O&M)
Date of decision: 20.12.2016**

Dharamvir

... Appellant

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Rajender Kumar, Advocate for
Mr.M.S.Tewatia, Advocate
for the appellants

Ms.Safia Gupta, AAG Haryana for respondents No.1 and 2

Mr.P.S.Saini, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 4

ARUN PALLI J. (Oral)

CM No.12936-CI of 2016

This is an application for condonation of delay of 1531 days in filing the application for restoration of appeal.

Despite opportunity, no reply has been filed to the application.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, delay of 1531 days in filing the application for restoration of appeal, is condoned.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-12937-CI-2016

This is an application seeking restoration of the appeal that was dismissed for non-prosecution, vide order dated 24.08.2012, passed by this Court.

For the reasons set out in the application, that is duly supported by an affidavit, the same is allowed. Consequently, the order dated 24.08.2012 is recalled and the appeal is restored to its original number.

CM stands disposed of.

RFA-1154-2012

For, the learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the matter in issue is squarely covered by the decision rendered by this court in

RFA No.2322 of 2011 [**Usha Rani and others v. State of Haryana and others**] and other connected cases, vide which in the appeals arising out of the same acquisition, this court had enhanced the compensation awarded to the landowners, uniformly to ₹ 48,57,000/- per acre, the present appeal is also disposed of in the same terms.

20.12.2016

neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether reportable-

Yes/No