

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.3918 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 31.05.2016

Ram Kishan Rana and others

...Appellants

Versus

M/s Sput Projects Pvt. Ltd.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Surya Parkash, Advocate for the appellants.

Mr. Aashish Chopra, Advocate for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that present appeal has become infructuous as ad interim order under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 was stated to be in operation till the appointment of the Arbitrator.

He further submits that Arbitrator has been appointed and, therefore, parties shall be at liberty to seek ad interim relief.

In view of such situation, present appeal is rendered disposed of as infructuous.

Liberty is granted to move appropriate application in case statement made by the learned counsel for the respondent is found incorrect.

31.05.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**