

108

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**FAO-127-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 26.05.2016**

Gurbinder Singh and another

... Appellants

Versus

M/s Ashok Leyland Finance Ltd. and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Amandeep Chhabra, Advocate
for the appellants.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The appellants are aggrieved of the dismissal of the objections seeking setting aside of the Award dated 30.03.2005. By filing the objections on 22.07.2014 without disclosing the fact of acquisition of knowledge of the Award. Even before the Arbitrator, many notices were sent and Arbitrator after recording the satisfaction, proceeded *ex parte*. No explanation has come forth in not filing the objections within the period prescribed under sub-Section 3 of Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 Act. It is a settled proposition of law that the limitation has to be reckoned from the date of receipt of the Award, even the said particulars are lacking, rightly so, the objections have been dismissed for want of limitation.

For the foregoing reasons, no ground is made out for interference in the findings, under challenge and accordingly, the appeal is dismissed.

26.05.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE