

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-3816-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.03.2016

HMT Ltd., Pinjore

...Appellant

Versus

M/s Nava Karnataka Motors and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ranjit Chawla, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The appellant is aggrieved of the dismissal of the application filed under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter called 'the 1996 Act').

Mr. Ashwani Talwar, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 2 submits that the Arbitrator has already entered into reference.

In case of any grievance, the appellant shall be at liberty to seek the interim measure as per Section 17 of the 1996 Act.

With the aforesaid observations, the appeal stands disposed of.

28.03.2016
yogesh

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**