

206.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.3972 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision:05.01.2016

The Oriental Insurance Company Limited

... Appellant

versus

Smt. Veena Rani and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. D.P. Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

None for the respondents.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

1. The only point urged by the appellant is that the court has provided for a prospect of future increase and the same ought not to have been done. The counsel would refer to the fact that the said issue is pending consideration before the Supreme Court.

2. A mere pendency for consideration in Supreme Court cannot be a ground for fettering the jurisdiction of either the Tribunal or the High Court. The deceased was a labourer and his income was taken after making provision for increase at ₹5,850/- which is even less than the minimum wages which is granted in the State of Haryana. I will make no intervention in appeal. Appeal by the Insurance Company is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

05.01.2016

sanjeev