

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.128**

**CWP No.9975 of 2016**

**Date of decision:19.05.2016**

Poonam Rani

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Ms. Rimple Kadyan, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner while serving the respondents as a Sanskrit Teacher for certain acts of omission and commission on her part was departmentally proceeded against and having been found guilty in the same, through order dated 07.12.2015 (Annexure P-1) was ordered to be dismissed from service, against which she preferred a statutory Appeal dated 29.12.2015 (Annexure P-2) before respondent No.2-Director, Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the afore-mentioned statutory Appeal preferred by the petitioner was filed on 29.12.2015 (Annexure P-2) but even after the passage of about five months, the same remains undecided. She further submits that at this stage, she would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take a final decision on the afore-referred statutory Appeal filed against the order of dismissal.

I find the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the appeal dated 29.12.2015 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 19, 2016**  
*Jyoti 1*