

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.9950 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 19, 2016

Sat Pal

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI.**

Present: Mr.G.S.Punia, Senior Advocate with
Mr.A.S.Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had earlier approached this Court through CWP No.12158 of 2015 questioning the delimitation exercise of wards of Municipal Council, Narwana. A direction was issued to the authorities to consider and decide the petitioner's objections. The Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana, has passed an order dated 10.05.2016 (P-11) deciding such objections. It is observed that though the total votes in Ward No.3 cannot be more than the total population of that Ward and depiction of voters more than the population is a suspicious circumstance but due to that reason alone the delimitation exercise cannot be undone as there are corrective and preventing measuring that can be taken to ensure that bogus votes cannot take place in the election. We are in agreement

with the view taken by the Director vide order under challenge.

That apart, if the petitioner is still aggrieved, he has an alternative remedy under the statute.

With these observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

May 19, 2016
mohinder

[A.B.CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE