

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9947 of 2016

Date of Decision : 19.05.2016

Sonu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present : Mr. Rajesh Khandelwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

This petition is filed for seeking direction to respondents No.3 and 4 to take a decision on the report dated 08.02.2016 on the ground that there is a commission of cognizable offence, as there is a fracture, in the right hand of the petitioner, as per the MLR.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was asked repeatedly to show from the record the alleged fracture, having been caused to the right hand of the petitioner as mentioned in the MLR but he miserably failed to show the same. Apparently, it is a case where the petitioner has tried to mislead the Court by mentioning a wrong fact which does not emerge from the record.

Hence, this petition is dismissed only on the ground that
the petitioner has not approached with clean hands.

Dismissed.

May 19, 2016

jt

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**