

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9907 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 3.6.2016.

Neha Kaushik and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

The Chairman, Central Office, Life Insurance Corporation of India
and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Anupam Gupta, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

1.) Reply filed on behalf of respondent Nos.1, 3 and 4 is taken on record.

2.) Legal right of the contract employee has been exhaustively dealt by this Court in **CWP No.20117 of 2015 (All India LIC SME Group/Association Vs. Life Insurance Corporation of India and others)** of even date. Even though the petitioners have questioned the validity of their termination order. Still they have no right to challenge the same in terms of the conditions imposed in Scheme as well as appointment order (contract basis).

3.) Learned counsel for the petitioners has vehemently contended that similarly situated persons' case has been re-considered and reviewed and they have been taken back to duty by the respondents. The petitioners are permitted to make representation or application to the respondent-authority. If such grievance is made out, respondent-authority would consider in accordance with law/policy.

4.) With the above observation, the petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

June 3, 2016.

sandeep sethi