

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

CWP No.9900 of 2016

Date of decision:19.05.2016

Tejbir Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Ms. Rimple Kadyan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner while serving the respondents as a Sanskrit Teacher for certain acts of omission and commission on his part was departmentally proceeded against and having been found guilty in the same, through order dated 07.12.2015 (Annexure P-1) was ordered to be dismissed from service, against which he preferred a statutory Appeal dated 09.01.2016 (Annexure P-2) before respondent No.2-Director, Elementary Education, Haryana, Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the afore-mentioned statutory Appeal preferred by the petitioner was filed on 09.01.2016 (Annexure P-2) but even after the passage of about five months, the same remains undecided. She further submits that at this stage, she would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to take a final decision on the afore-referred statutory Appeal filed against the order of dismissal.

I find the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the appeal dated 09.01.2016 (Annexure P-2) filed by the petitioner by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 19, 2016
Jyoti 1