

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.9830 of 2016

Date of Decision: May 30, 2016

Chaman Raghav

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI.**

Present: Mr.Pravesh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms.Kirti Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1, 2 & 4 only.

On our asking, Ms.Kirti Singh, learned Deputy Advocate Haryana accepts notice on their behalf.

Let three copies of the writ petition be supplied to learned State counsel during the course of day failing which this order shall be automatically recalled and the writ petition shall be deemed to have been dismissed for non-prosecution.

In view of the nature of order which we propose to pass, it is not necessary to seek any counter-reply from respondent Nos.1, 2 & 4 or to call upon respondent No.3 at this stage as no order on merits prejudicial to its interest is being passed.

The petitioner is a resident of Sohna, District Gurgaon. He seeks a writ of mandamus to direct the respondent-authorities including the District Administration, Gurgaon and the State Election Commission, Haryana, to correct the voter lists of various wards of the Municipal Council, Sohna as large scale multiple voters figure in electoral rolls of different wards. Several other grounds have also taken to point-out that voters lists of various wards including ward Nos.15, 16, 17, 18, 19 & 21 need to be re-looked. The petitioner has in this regard submitted a complaint dated 01.05.2016 (P-10) also.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, it appears that the factual issues raised by the petitioner would require consideration and if the authorities find that correction measures need to be taken for validation of the voter lists, they may do so in accordance with law. In view of comprehensive averments made in the writ petition, respondent Nos.2 & 4 are directed to treat this writ petition as a supplementary representation on behalf of the petitioner and let it be disposed of in accordance with the principles of natural justice, within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

May 30, 2016
mohinder

**[A.B.CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE**