

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 980 of 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- February 24, 2016**

Ashwani Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

**Central Administrative Tribunal Bench at Chandigarh and others.
...Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.JEYAPPAUL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present:- Mr.K.S. Jetley, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest? Yes/No

M.JEYAPPAUL, J.

C.M. No. 1317 of 2016

The application is allowed as prayed for.

CWP No. 980 of 2016

1. Learned counsel appearing for the Writ Petitioner submits that the government scheme (Annexure P-10) regarding appointment on compassionate grounds was specifically challenged by the Writ Petitioner before the Tribunal but the same was not discussed by the Tribunal while disposing of the Original Application filed by the Writ Petitioner.

2. We suggested to the counsel appearing for the Writ Petitioner that he can very well urge such a point before the Tribunal by filing necessary Review Application. He agrees to such a suggestion emanated from the Court.

3. In view of the above, the Writ Petition stands disposed of, giving liberty to the Writ Petitioner to file a Review Application before the Tribunal for necessary relief.

**(M. JEYAPPAUL)
JUDGE**

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 24, 2016

p.singh