

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDI GARH

CWP- 9782- 2016

Date of decision: - 18. 05. 2016

Mohinder Singh Rathee

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and others.

... Respondents

CORAM HONBLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S. J. VAZIDAR, A. C. J. (ORAL)

The petitioner's application for allotment of a plot under the oustee quota was rejected by the impugned order dated 24.03.2015 (Annexure P-3), inter alia, on the ground that the petitioner was only a co-sharer and that the other co-sharers had not issued the NOC. We decided a similar matter on 04.04.2016 in a group of writ petitions the first of which is CWP-6684-2014 titled as Santosh Kumari Vs State of Haryana and others.

2. We do not wish to express any views about the impugned order at this stage. The order is quashed and set aside only for the purpose of directing the respondents to decide the petitioner's application for allotment under the oustee quota afresh in accordance with law after taking into consideration all the provisions of law including the said order and judgement dated 04.04.2016 in a group of writ petitions the first of which is CWP-6684-2014 titled as Santosh Kumari Vs State of Haryana and others.

3. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

(S. J. VAZIDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

18. 05. 2016