

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDI GARH

CWP- 9753- 2016

Date of decision: - 18. 05. 2016

Rajbir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and
others.

... Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present :- Ms. Anita Balyan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S. J. VAZIFDAR, A. C. J. (ORAL)

The petitioner in effect seeks an enforcement of the order of the learned Single Judge dated 04.02.2010 in CWP-1902-2010 filed by him

2. The petitioner states that the respondents have not considered his representation on the ground that another case involving a similar issue is pending. The respondents were bound to decide the matter in accordance with law in view of the order of the learned Single Judge.

3. The petitioner claims to be entitled to a plot under the oustee quota. The petitioner is one of the co-sharers. His case is covered by our order and judgement dated 04.04.2016 in a group of writ petitions the first of which is CWP-6684-2014 titled as Santosh Kumari Vs State of Haryana and others.

4. This writ petition is also disposed of in the same terms as CWP-6684-2014 and other connected matters.

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

18. 05. 2016