

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 9729 of 2016
Date of Decision: May 18, 2016

Rohit Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Ms. Seema Arora, Advocate,
for Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent no.1 to pass an appropriate order on the application for staying the operation and implementation of order dated 04.12.2015 (Annexure P/6) passed by respondent No.2 – Director, Department of Rural and Development, Panchayat, Punjab.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has filed an appeal (Annexure P/7), which is pending before the Secretary, Department of Rural and Development, Panchayat, Punjab. Learned counsel further contends that along with the said appeal, an application for stay has also been moved, but till date no order has been passed on the stay application. Learned counsel further contends that said appeal is fixed for

25.05.2016.

Learned counsel further contends that direction may be issued to respondent no.1 to take some decision on the stay application.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, instant petition is disposed of, without issuing notice to the respondents, with a direction to respondent no.1 i.e. Secretary, Department of Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab that as and when the matter is listed for hearing, he will take some decision on the application for stay filed by the petitioner positively.

May 18, 2016
vkd

[Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal]
Judge