

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9680 of 2016

Date of decision: May 16, 2016.

Nitasha

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Nand Lal Sammi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

The nomination papers of the petitioner for election as a municipal councillor from No.12 have been rejected as the person who proposed her name, has been found to be disqualified under Rule 21(3) of the Haryana Municipal Corporation Rules, 1978.

Since there is non-compliance of mandatory statutory condition, no case to interfere with the impugned order is made out.

Dismissed.

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

May 16, 2016.
kadyan