

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

VINOD KUMAR
2016.05.18 15:13
Test to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

CWP No.9625 of 2016
Date of decision:17.05.2016

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Dr. Suresh Kumar Redhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.

This petition is filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* to quash the letters dated 07.08.2013, 13.12.2010 and 12.03.2013 by which he has been denied interest on the PPF deposit.

The petitioner filed a complaint under Section 12 of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 before the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Rohtak, which was dismissed on 19.10.2015 and filed the appeal against that order before the State Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Haryana, Panchkula and withdrew the appeal on 19.01.2016. He has now filed this petition on the same cause of action on which the complaint was filed.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner, I am of the considered opinion that this petition is not maintainable because the petitioner has already availed the statutory remedy in accordance with law and has failed. The petitioner should have persuaded his appeal instead of withdrawing the same.

In view thereof, I do not find any reason to interfere in this petition.

Dismissed.

May 17, 2016
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge