

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.117

CWP No.9566 of 2016

Date of decision: 17.05.2016

Sudhir Kumar and others

....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Chandra Sekhar, Advocate for
Mr. Umesh Narang, Advocate
for the petitioners.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 02.12.2015 (Annexure P-8), issued on behalf of the petitioners.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 02.12.2015 (Annexure P-8), issued on behalf of the petitioners, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 17, 2016
Jyoti 1