

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9480 of 2016

Date of decision: May 16, 2016.

Harbhajan Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Haryana and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Jarnail Singh Saneta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

Petitioner has chosen to file this writ petition challenging the injunction decree dated 15.4.1971 on the basis of which the *shamlat deh* land is alleged to have been mutated in favour of the plaintiffs vide mutation dated 27.8.1973.

It may not be expedient or desirable for us to entertain the writ petition at this belated stage. The petitioner or the other affected residents of the village, including the Gram Panchayat, are not remedyless and it appears to us that they may initiate appropriate proceedings under Section 13-A of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, as applicable to the State of Haryana.

With liberty aforesaid, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

May 16, 2016.
kadyan