

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 9471 of 2016  
Date of Decision: 21.12.2016**

Kailash Bainsla

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Jhanda, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)**

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court, by way of instant writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of Mandamus.

Notice of motion was issued and in compliance thereof, reply by way of affidavit dated 13.7.2016 of Assistant Commissioner of Police NIT-II, Faridabad, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4, filed in the Court today, is taken on record.

After hearing learned counsel for the State and going through the record of the case, instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad-respondent No.2 to look into the

**Civil Writ Petition No. 9471 of 2016**

matter and pass an appropriate order, whether in the facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner is entitled or not for removal of his name from the list of bad character 'A' in Police Station Surjakund. The Commissioner of Police, Faridabad-respondent No.2 shall pass an appropriate order at an early date, in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of two months from today and the said order shall be intimated to the petitioner.

It is also made clear that if the petitioner feels dissatisfied by the order passed by respondent No.2, he shall be entitled to challenge the same before appropriate forum, in accordance with law.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present writ petition stands disposed of.

21.12.2016  
*Ak Sharma*

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable: Yes/No*