

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9463 of 2016

Date of decision: May 16, 2016.

Rajinder Singh and others

... **Petitioner**

v.

State of Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Jatinder Nagpal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

Petitioners are the residents of village Rohar Jagir, Tehsil and District Patiala. They alleged that a substantial part of the village pond (*toba*) has been encroached upon by various residents of the village who have either constructed houses and/or are using such land for agricultural and other purposes. Some photographs have also been appended to substantiate their claim.

As the private respondents have not been arrayed as party respondents, it is not expedient for us to express any views on the allegations of the petitioners. We, thus, dispose of the writ petition with a direction to respondents No.4 to 7 to ascertain the correct facts and if there is truth in the petitioners' allegations, take necessary remedial measures within a period of four months.

[**Surya Kant**]
Judge

[**A.B. Chaudhari**]
Judge

May 16, 2016.
kadyan