

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 116 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 28.05.2016

Chesta and others

.....Appellants

Versus

General Insurance and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Ram Pal Verma, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. R.C. Kapoor, Advocate
for respondent no. 2.

Surinder Gupta, J.

This is appeal by claimants against award 22.05.2014 passed by Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, Sonapat (later referred to as 'the Tribunal') whereby compensation of ₹25,000/- was allowed under Section 161(3)(a) of the Motor Vehicles Act for death of Om Parkash, husband of claimant no. 1 and father of claimants no. 2 and 3 in a motor vehicle accident in a hit and run case.

2. As claimants have sought only the enhancement of compensation, detailed facts of the case are being skipped for the sake of brevity.

3. Learned counsel for the appellants has argued that amount of ₹25,000/- provided under Section 161(3)(a) of the Act has been enhanced to ₹50,000/- vide Motor Vehicle (Amendment) Bill, 2007, which was introduced in the Parliament.

4. During course of arguments, learned counsel for the

appellant has fairly conceded that Section 161(3)(a) of the Act proposed vide Amendment Bill of 2007 has not become the law so far.

5. No other argument has been advanced by learned counsel for the appellants.

6. In view of submission of learned counsel for appellants, this appeal has no merit and the same is dismissed.

May 28, 2016
jk

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE