

101

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision : 23.05.2016

**1) CM-296-297-CII-2014 in/and
FAO-169-2014**

**SHRI RAM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD ...Appellant
VS
JAG DAI & ORS ...Respondents**

**2) CM-301-302-CII-2014 in/and
FAO-170-2014**

**SHRI RAM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD ...Appellant
VS
SUMAN RANI AND OTHERS ...Respondents**

**3) CM-554-556-CII-2014 in/and
FAO-204-2014**

**SHRI RAM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD ...Appellant
VS
SMT. SHEETAL AND OTHERS ...Respondents**

**4) CM-24833-34-CII-2013 in/and
FAO-6038-2013**

**SHRI RAM GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD ...Appellant
VS
SARE KAWAR AND OTHERS ...Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

**Present : Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. T.K. Joshi, Advocate
for the applicant-appellant.**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall decide aforesaid four cases by the common order since the issue involved are the same.

On 14.01.2014 the following order was passed:-

“In support of the application for condonation of delay viz. CM-296-CII of 2014, it has been mentioned as follows in para No.3:-

“That after going through the award it was decided to file an appeal against the impugned award but before the file containing all the papers and certified copy of the award could be sent to the counsel for the appellant for preparing the appeal the same was misplaced in the office of the appellant. The officials of the appellant tried their best to locate the same and found the same lying in decided cases on 18.11.2013. Thereafter the file was sent to the counsel for the appellant for preparing he appeal on 22.11.2013.”

Even yesterday a case had come up of the same insurance company where an identical averment had been made. Let the authorised signatory file a specific affidavit as to papers of how many cases were misplaced, when they were misplaced and whether any action was taken to ascertain how and in what circumstances this occurred.

Adjourned sine die.

To be listed as and when an application with necessary affidavit is moved in this regard.”

Nothing having been done by the appellant the registry again listed this case on 29.03.2016 when following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel states that inadvertently due to error in his office necessary affidavit was not filed and now he will file the same within two weeks.

Adjourned to 17.05.2016.

A photocopy of this order be placed on files of the connected cases.”

Thereafter on 17.05.2016 the following order was passed:-

“Learned counsel for the applicant seeks more time to file affidavit in terms of order dated 29.03.2016.

Last opportunity is granted. Adjourned to 23.05.2016.

A photocopy of this order be placed on files of the connected cases.”

Even today there is a request for adjournment.

In the circumstances, no further opportunity can be granted.

All the applications as well as appeals are dismissed.

May 23, 2016
ashish

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**