

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9173 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 27.09.2016

NAVPREET SINGH

....Petitioner

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. H.S. Grewal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, D. A.G., Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

The prayer of the petitioner in the present petition is to consider his case for appointment as Sub Inspector on compassionate ground after the death of his father.

Notice of motion was issued on 11.5.2016 and following contentions were recorded:-

“Inter alia submits that the petitioner's father was serving as a Sub-Inspector and died on 09.06.2014. In pursuance of his application for compassionate appointment, he was offered the post of Constable and consideration for the post of ASI was rejected. It is submitted that as per the instructions (Annexures P3 & P4), the petitioner was entitled for the said post, in view of the fact that he is holding Graduation Degree in Bachelor of Technology (Electrical Engineering) from

Punjab Technical University. Reliance is also placed upon CWP-21444-2010 titled *Harwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab & others*, decided on 30.01.2013 (Annexure P10), that consideration should have been done on merits, for the higher post.”

In response to notice of motion, learned State counsel seeks time to file reply. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that case of the petitioner has been recommended but no decision has been taken so far. Petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued to decide the case of the petitioner.

Keeping in view the limited prayer of the petitioner and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioner and pass necessary orders in accordance with law and policy applicable to case of the petitioner.

In case the decision is taken in favour of the petitioner, then necessary exercise be done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of the order. In case, any adverse order is passed, then the petitioner is at liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

September 27, 2016
poonam

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No