

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9945 of 2015

Date of decision: 31.3.2016

Ranjit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Subordinate Service Selection Board & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

1. In view of averments made in the written statement filed by the Subordinate Services Selection Board, Punjab, the merit list has been attached at Annex R-2 from where vital fact is disclosed that the petitioner has not made the grade on merits of the selection. Therefore, nothing survives in this petition to be determined and adjudicated. The petition has been rendered infructuous.

2. Dismissed as such.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in case challenge is brought to the selection, this order should not be read against the petitioner in case there are sufficient grounds and reasons to assail the process of selection as vitiated for one reason or the other. It is so ordered.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

31.3.2016
monika