

126

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.9015 of 2016

Date of decision: May 09, 2016

Karnail Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Joint Director, Department of Rural Development
and Panchayat, Punjab and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mr. G.L. Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed appeal against the eviction order dated 10.03.2016 passed under Section 4 of the Punjab Public Premises and Land (Eviction and Rent Recovery) Act, 1973 read with Sections 3, 5 and 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961. He has approached this Court alleging that since the Appellate Authority is not holding the Court, he is meanwhile likely to be dispossessed for want of stay which would, in a way, render the appeal infructuous.

Keeping in view the facts noticed hereinabove, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to Appellate Authority-respondent No.1 to decide the stay application

filed by the petitioner within one month of receipt of copy of this order. Till then, both the parties are directed to maintain status quo. The Appellate Authority however, shall be at liberty to impose such terms and conditions on the petitioner as it may deem appropriate.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

**[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE**

May 09, 2016
mahavir