

214.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.1399 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:13.05.2016

Prerna Bhatnagar and another

... Appellants

versus

Shashi Pal and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate,
for the appellants.

Mr. Harjinder Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

K.Kannan, J. (Oral)

There is no scope for interference in an appeal where the claim was made under Section 163-A of the Motor Vehicles Act and the award has been passed in terms of Schedule-II. There is no scope for violating the scheme of the Act except to the extent provided by the Supreme Court in **Reshma Kumari and others Versus Madan Mohan and another-2013(9) SCC 65** that allows for application of a different multiplier for persons aged less than 15 years and deviance in other aspects is not possible. The award is sustained and the appeal is dismissed.

**(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE**

13.05.2016

sanjeev