

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 8952 of 2016
Date of decision : 16.11.2016**

Ved Parkash Arya

.....Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral) :

The petitioner has assailed order dated 18.4.2016 (Annexure P-6), whereby his representation, for grant of two additional increments and for extension in service beyond 60 years, has been rejected.

The petitioner, who is stated to be visually impaired, was working as Clerk in the office of Director, Industries and Commerce, Haryana. He has sought two additional increments at par with teachers, who were granted these increments after receiving State/National Award. In the impugned order it is mentioned that there are neither any instructions nor policy for grant of two additional increments to the State Government employees. It was only in pursuance to the letter issued by the Government on 29.9.2011 that two additional increments were accorded to teachers, who were State/National Awardees. In the absence of any instructions for grant of additional increments to employees of the State Government, were similarly situated with the petitioner.

The rejection of his representation does not suffer from any infirmity. It is also manifest from perusal of the impugned order that the petitioner has already been granted extension for a period of two years beyond the age of 58 years. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to draw my attention to any instructions or rules which permits the grant of extension of service beyond the age of 60 years.

In the result, being no merit therein, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

16.11.2016
Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned
Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No