

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8950 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 9.5.2016

Atma Ram and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate for the petitioners

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The legal notice dated 28.2.2014 (Annex.P-2) preferred by the petitioners before the competent authority has not been decided. Therefore, judicial review is not possible without knowing in the first instance the mind of the respondents.

In these circumstances, a direction is issued to the competent authority amongst the respondents to pass a reasoned order on the legal notice (Annex.P-2) after hearing the petitioners. If a request for personal hearing is made, it shall be allowed, but restricted to only a few at the choice of the competent authority who are able to present the case of the petitioners and safeguard their interest. Let decision be taken within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

**9.5.2016
MFK**