

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8927 of 2016

Decided on : 09.05.2016

Parshotam Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr. Manbir Singh Basra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks the sanctioning of the remaining increments, as per the orders of this Court. It is the case of the of the petitioner that he had joined the respondent-Punjab Roadways as a Conductor on 26.11.1971 and retired as an Inspector on 30.04.2010. During his period of service, various increments were granted to him and several of them withheld without following proper procedure of any departmental inquiry etc. The petitioner was party in ***CWP No.632 of 2001 'Ajit Singh and others Vs. The Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Punjab and another'***, which was disposed of on 21.02.2013 (Annexure P-1), whereby the punishment of stoppage of annual grade increments with cumulative effect imposed on the petitioners without holding an inquiry, were modified on the ground that they were totally unjustified. The consequential relief was to be given from the date of the demand notice within a period of six months.

It is the case of the petitioner that in compliance of the said orders, two of his increments have not been restored, whereas, others have been. He has served representation on 01.02.2016 (Annexure P-2) and legal notice on 24.02.2016 (Anneuxre P-3) upon the respondents, but no decision has been taken.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if a time-bound decision be taken on his representation /legal notice.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Requisite number of copies of the writ petition have been supplied to him.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court does not feel it necessary to call upon the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case and entitlement of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) and legal notice (Annexure P-3) of the petitioner within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case, the petitioner is found entitled for the said benefits, the same be restored, thereafter and his pension be refixed, accordingly. In case any adverse order is to be passed, the same be conveyed to the petitioner.

With the abovesaid observations, the present writ petition is disposed of.

MAY 09, 2016
Naveen

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE