

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.8888 of 2016

Date of decision: May 09, 2016

Dharampal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was allotted a 100 sq. yards plot by the Gram Panchayat of his village Sohana, District Karnal. Instead of raising construction over the allotted plot, he has partially constructed his house on the plot bearing No.23. In fact, the petitioner grabbed two plots of 100 sq. yards each for which action was taken against him by the Gram Panchayat. He approached this Court in CWP No.5795 of 2015 and gave an undertaking that he will have no claim on plots No.22 and 16, if plot No.23 where partially construction has been raised, is exchanged/re-allotted to him. A direction was issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Karnal to resolve the issue in consultation with the Gram

Panchayat. The Deputy Commissioner, Karnal has turned down the above stated claim observing that it is not in the interest of the Gram Panchayat or inhabitants of the village to allot plot No.23 to the petitioner.

In such situation, the petitioner cannot insist that he must be allotted the plot of his choice. We thus, do not find any valid ground to interfere with the impugned decision, more so, when the petitioner has got a plot of 100 sq. yards in the village where he can construct the house.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE

May 09, 2016
mahavir