

CWP- 8760-2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-8760-2016

DATE OF DECISION:29.11.2016

SANDEEP KUMAR AND ANR.

... Petitioners

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are stated to be working as Extension Lecturers in Government College, Hansi and Government College, Nalwa, District Hisar. The details of the period of their service as Extension Lecturers are set out in para No.3 of the petition.

It is the case of the petitioners that they deserve to continue as Extension Lecturers till regular appointments are made by the respondents and they should not be relieved during summer/winter/examination period. The petitioners have sought further direction that they be granted ₹ 1000/- per period subject to a maximum of ₹ 25,000/- per month from the date of their initial appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the cases of the petitioners are squarely covered by the judgment of a coordinate Bench of this Court in the case of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others**

CWP No. 9300 of 2015 decided on 5.5.2016.

CWP- 8760-2016

Learned State counsel contends that a coordinate Bench of this Court has issued directions pertaining to similarly situated persons while deciding **CWP No. 16975 of 2014**, in the case of **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others** decided on **29.7.2016** while relying upon the judgment in the case of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)**.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners would be satisfied if the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider the case of each of the petitioners in the light of the judgments in the cases of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** and **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** in a time bound manner.

Therefore, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to treat the writ petition as a representation of the petitioners and consider and decide the same by passing a speaking order, keeping in view the judgments in the cases of **Ms. Menka and others v. State of Haryana and others (supra)** and **Mrs. Rita Tandon v. State of Haryana and others (supra)**, within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 29, 2016

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No