

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision:03.08.2016

1. CWP No.8736 of 2016
Surya Parkash and others ...Petitioners
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
2. CWP No.8623 of 2016
Ravinder Singh ...Petitioner
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
3. CWP No.8768 of 2016
Surjit Singh and another ...Petitioners
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
4. CWP No.8845 of 2016
Nikhil and others ...Petitioners
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
5. CWP No.8932 of 2016
Yogesh Kumar ...Petitioner
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
6. CWP No.8790 of 2016
Somesh and another ...Petitioners
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
7. CWP No.9678 of 2016
Swati Sharma ...Petitioner
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents
8. CWP No.11022 of 2016
Preeti Sharma and another ...Petitioners
Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present:- Mr. Angel Sharma, Mr. Prateek Rathee,
Mr.Jasbir Mor, Mr.I.S.Pabla,
Mr.Karan Nehra, Mr.Shrey Goel, Advocates
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 6.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J (Oral)

This order will dispose of CWP Nos.8736, 8623, 8768, 8845, 8932, 8790, 9678 and 11022 of 2016. It is not necessary to narrate the facts of these cases, in view of the fact that the writ petitions have been rendered infructuous for the reasons that follow:-

As per the directions of this Court, Mr. Sabherwal, learned counsel appearing for contesting respondents No.2 to 6 has brought the result in sealed cover and the same has been opened in Court by the Bench Secretary of this Court. Learned counsel for the petitioners have been permitted by Court to see the result sheet to know where their clients stand and after perusal of the result sheets the same has been handed over to the custody of the officials who have come to court from the respondent-Corporation with the sealed result.

Mr. Sabherwal, submits that these writ petitions have been rendered infructuous since the petitioners have failed to achieve the bench mark of passing the written examination i.e. 50% for General Category and 45% for Reserved Category in the written test. Since the learned counsel for the petitioners have been shown the result of the test, they are no longer in a

position to dispute their positions in the merit list and are satisfied by their failure, and therefore, these eight petitions have been rendered infructuous and require no further adjudication.

In view of the above position, these petitions have been rendered infructuous and are dismissed as such.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

August 03, 2016
Vanita/MFK

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No