
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.8675 of 2016

Date of decision: 5.5.2016

Sukhdeep Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner challenges the clause in the appointment letter dated 19.10.2004 (Annexure P/2) wherein while offering the post of Multi Purpose Health Worker, the new pension scheme announced by the Government was made to be applicable to him.

Counsel for the petitioner has referred to the judgment dated 06.05.2011 (Annexure P-3) passed in **Civil Writ Petition No. 1244 of 2011, Rupinder Pal vs. State of Punjab** which was upheld in LPA No. 108 of 2012 on 08.11.2012 (Annexure P-4) to submit that it covers his case.

Counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that he has already sent a representation for the necessary relief on 18.09.2015 (Annexure P-7) and submits that he would be satisfied if a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the said issue.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S.Sidhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State. He is supplied copy of the paper-book.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court does not feel necessary to call upon the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, keeping in view the judgment of this Court in Rupinder Pal's case (supra), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 18.09.2015 (Annexure P-7) within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. Needless to say if the relief is to be denied, the order shall contain reasons.

May 05, 2016
Pka

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
Judge