

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CWP-9499-2015

DATE OF DECISION:04.11.2016

MAM RAJ AND ORS.

... Petitioners

V.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are claiming one grade increment on promotion to the post of Head Teacher. On the previous date of hearing, the case was adjourned to await the decision of coordinate Bench in the case of **CWP No.12657 of 2012 titled as Mohinder Singh and Others v. State of Haryana**. The judgment in the case of **Mohinder Singh and Others v. State of Haryana (supra)** has been pronounced by the coordinate Bench of this Court on 17.08.2015, wherein it has been held that petitioners therein, are not entitled to the grant of additional increment under Rule 4.4 (a) (i) read with Rule 4.13 of the CSR on their promotion to the post of Head Teacher as they were drawing the functional pay scale of the post of Head Teacher prior to the date of their promotion on the strength of grant of ACP scales.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly states that the case of the petitioner is also covered by the judgment in the case of **Mohinder Singh and Others (supra)** which has been decided along with several other cases including **CWP No.22066 of 2012, titled as Ganga Ram Punia and Ors. v. State of Haryana and Ors.**

In view of the above, the petition stands dismissed.

November 04, 2016

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

SwarnjitS

Note:

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No