

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 9382 of 2015
Date of decision: 11.08.2016

Harpreet Singh and othersPetitioner(s)
Versus
Council of Architecture and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Anil Malhotra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate,
for respondent no. 1.

None for remaining respondents.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the interim order dated 12.08.2015, petitioners no. 1 and 3 have been duly registered as Architects by the respondent no. 1-Council of Architecture.

Counsel for respondent no. 1 has placed on record a e-mail addressed to the Registrar of the said respondent that in view of the above, the petition qua these students has become infructuous. The said e-mail also mentions that from petitioner no. 2, no such application has been received.

Accordingly, keeping in view the stand taken by respondent, counsel for the petitioners submits that the petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

11.08.2016
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No