

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9367 of 2015
Date of decision:19.8.2016**

Shyam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Arvind Rajotia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Jhanda, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

This batch of 11 identical writ petitions bearing CWP Nos. 9367, 9368, 10898, 10906, 11082, 11122, 11429, 11607, 11622, 11655, 11677 and of 2015 is being decided together vide this common order. However, for the facility of reference, facts are being culled out from CWP No. 9367 of 2015 (**Shyam Vs. State of Haryana and others**)

Learned counsel for the parties, in all the above said 11 identical writ petitions, are *ad-idem* that the petitioners are seeking only a writ in the nature of mandamus. It is also not in dispute that before filing these writ petitions, petitioners did not approach the competent authority, i.e. Municipal Committee, Barwala–respondent No.3, by moving appropriate representation(s) for considering their grievance.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, in all these writ petitions, submits that given an opportunity, petitioners shall approach respondent no.3, by moving their appropriate representation(s) within a period of three weeks from today and respondent No.3 may be directed to consider and decide the same, in terms of Resolution No.7 dated 23.05.2013 and within a reasonable time.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 also fairly states that he has got no objection in case the above-said genuine request made by the

learned counsel for the petitioners is accepted.

In view of above-said respective stand taken on behalf of the contesting parties, all these writ petitions are disposed of, with liberty to the petitioners to approach the Executive officer of Municipal Committee, Barwala–respondent No.3, by moving their appropriate representation(s) within a period of three weeks from today.

After receipt of appropriate representation(s) on behalf of the petitioners, Executive Officer, Municipal Committee, Barwala–respondent No.3, shall consider the grievance raised by the petitioners and decide their respective representation(s) at an early date, by passing appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, keeping in view Resolution No.7 dated 23.05.2013 and other relevant material, if any, but in any case within a period of two months from the date of receipt of representation(s) from the petitioners.

As this Court issued directions to the parties to maintain status quo with regard to possession, while issuing notice of motion vide its order dated 12.05.2015, which has been continuing till date, shall continue further till the representation(s) moved on behalf of the petitioners is decided by respondent No.3.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, all these writ petitions stand disposed of.

19.8.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No