

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CWP No.8506 of 2016**

**Date of Decision:11.05.2016**

**M/s S.D. Solvex Pvt. Ltd.**

**.....Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab and others**

**.....Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN**

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V. Ramswaroop, Addl. AG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

**RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J.(Oral)**

The petitioner is a private limited Company. It has vehicles for the transportation of its goods. However, it is being harassed by the Truck Union Darba Mandi, District Sangrur as they are putting pressure on the petitioner to hire their trucks for the purpose of their business. It is mentioned in the petition that the petitioner had before approaching this Court made an application to the SSP, Sangrur on 18.4.2016 to intervene in order to save them from the harassment at the hands of respondent No.7 as they have a fundamental right of business as well as right to life and liberty. On the last date of hearing, at the time of issuance of notice, SSP, Sangrur was directed to be present in Court with a purpose because in the past, in case bearing CWP No.20299 of 2012 – K.S. Agricultural Industries Pvt. Ltd. v. State of Punjab and others, an order was passed by this Court on 10.10.2012 when the said petitioner had invoked the jurisdiction of this Court, having been harassed by the Truck Union, which was forcing the said petitioner to conduct their business through them. The writ

petition was disposed of and the SSP, Sangrur was directed to look into the issue raised and decide the same in accordance with law ensuring the protection of the petitioner therein. Another similar order was passed in CWP No.11333 of 2015 in the case of M/s Noor Agro Industries & others v. State of Punjab and others decided on 28.5.2015. Since the citizen are approaching this Court repeatedly because of the undue pressure being created by the Local Truck Union of Sangrur, therefore, in order to set the things right, SSP, Sangrur was directed to be present in Court, who has said that the representation has not been received by him. Be that as it may, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the SSP, Sangrur to look into the grievance of the petitioner and redress it forthwith so that respondent No.7, if put undue pressure upon the petitioner for using trucks from its Union, the petitioner be protected who may use their own transportation vehicles for the purpose of their business. SSP, Sangrur has assured the Court that in future, if any such type of application is filed to him, he will take remedial measures immediately.

**May 11, 2016**  
**renu**

**( RAKESH KUMAR JAIN )**  
**JUDGE**