

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8492 of 2016
Decided on : 27.05.2016

Om Parkash & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr.Abhishek Arora, Advocate, for
Mr.Vivek Baghla, Advocate, for the petitioners.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

Petitioners seek the benefit of additional increments, as they have already reached at the initial basic start of the pay scale prescribed for the post, on account of performing duties of higher responsibilities by officiating/holding current duty charge on the higher post. It is the case of the petitioners that they have retired as Superintendents on 30.06.2015 and during their service period, they had officiated on higher posts, i.e., Treasury Officers. The details of the holding of higher posts have been mentioned in para 3 of the paperbook, which reads as under:

Sr. No.	Petitioner	Officiating period	
		From	To
1	Om Parkash	22.5.2000	23.5.2005
		2006	30.6.2015 (till the date of retirement)
2	Sham Lal	29.10.2011	30.6.2015 (till the date of retirement)

Counsel submits that a legal notice dated 27.11.2014 (Annexure P7) has already been served upon the respondents for the necessary relief but no action has been taken. He, accordingly, submits that the petitioners would be satisfied if the same is decided within a time-bound frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr.R.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. Copy of the paperbook has been supplied to him.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court does not feel it necessary to ask the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case and the entitlement of the petitioners, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the legal notice dated 27.11.2014 (Annexure P7), within a period of 3 months. If the petitioners are found entitled for the said relief, the financial benefits be released to them, one month, thereafter. In case an adverse order is to be passed, same be conveyed to the petitioners.

MAY 27, 2016
sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE