

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.9301 of 2015
Date of decision:27.9.2016**

Vikramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.B.S.Khehar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

It has gone undisputed before this Court that petitioner has got an equally efficacious alternative remedy against the impugned order, which was not availed by the petitioner, before filing the present writ petition.

In view of the above and after arguing the case for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of the Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to the petitioner to avail his alternative remedy against the impugned order, at the first instance. However, keeping in view the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, coupled with the fact that petitioner was pursuing his remedy before this Court under a bonafide wrong impression, if the petitioner approaches the competent authority by moving an appropriate petition against the impugned order, question of limitation will not be raised against the petitioner and the competent authority shall consider and decide the case on merits.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to avail his alternative remedy. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of, accordingly.

27.9.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No