

245-4

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

FAO-10395-2014 (O&amp;M)

Date of decision : 09.08.2016

National Highways Authority of India

... Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present: Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate  
for the appellant(s)-NHAI.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

**AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the appellant(s)-NHAI submits that the appeal is squarely covered by the judgment rendered in **FAO No.5563 of 2013** titled as **“National Highways Authority of India V/s State of Punjab and others”** decided on 15.01.2016 as the notification under Section 3-A of the National Highway Act, 1956 with regard to the acquisition of the land for widening of the National Highway, is identical to the present case.

This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the respondent.

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the case, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the order dated 15.01.2016 passed in **FAO No.5563 of 2013** titled as **“National Highways Authority of India**

*V/s State of Punjab and others”.*

09.08.2016  
yogesh

( AMIT RAWAL )  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned    **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable                **Yes/ No**