

245-3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-10394-2014 (O&M)

Date of decision : 09.08.2016

National Highways Authority of India

... Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate
for the appellant(s)-NHAI.

Mr. P.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant(s)-NHAI submits that the appeal is squarely covered by the judgment rendered in **FAO No.5563 of 2013** titled as **“National Highways Authority of India V/s State of Punjab and others”** decided on 15.01.2016 as the notification under Section 3-A of the National Highway Act, 1956 with regard to the acquisition of the land for widening of the National Highway, is identical to the present case.

This fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the respondent(s)-Landowner(s).

In view of the aforementioned facts and circumstances of the

case, the appeal is disposed of in terms of the order dated 15.01.2016 passed in FAO No.5563 of 2013 titled as “National Highways Authority of India V/s State of Punjab and others”.

09.08.2016
yogesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/ No**

Whether Reportable **Yes/ No**