

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.113

CWP No.8356 of 2016

Date of decision: 03.05.2016

Som Dutt

....Petitioner

versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Singal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 11.09.2014 (Annexure P-3), issued on behalf of the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 11.09.2014 (Annexure P-3), issued on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

May 03, 2016

Jyoti 1