

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. FAO No.10276 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Seema Mittal and others

...Respondents

2. FAO No.10277 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Kanta Rani and others

...Respondents

3. FAO No.10278 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Lalit Mohan Batra and others

...Respondents

4. FAO No.10289 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Uran Kaur and others

...Respondents

5. FAO No.10290 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Mohinder Singh and others

...Respondents

6. FAO No.10291 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Sukhvir Kaur and others

...Respondents

7. FAO No.10292 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Lakhwinder Singh and others

...Respondents

8.

FAO No.10294 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Jagir Singh and others

...Respondents

9.

FAO No.10298 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

National Highways Authority of India

...Appellant

Versus

Rajan Kansal and others

...Respondents

10.

FAO No.2788 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

Lalit Mohan Batra and others

...Appellants

Versus

Union of India and others

...Respondents

11.

FAO No.6210 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.04.2016

Steel Strip Wheels Ltd.

...Appellant

Versus

Govt. of India and others

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for appellant
(In FAO No.6210 of 2013)

Mr. R.S. Madan, Advocate for the appellant
(In FAO Nos.10276 to 10278, 10289 to 10292,
10294, 10298 of 2014)
for respondent Nos.1 and 2 (In FAO No.2788 of 2015)
for respondent No.2 (In FAO No.6210 of 2013)

Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate for appellant
(In FAO No.2788 of 2015) &
for respondent (In FAO No.10278 of 2014)

Mr. H.S. Baath, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4, 6 to 9, 10-
C to 10-E and 10-G (In FAO No.10289 of 2014) &
for respondent (In FAO No.10276 of 2014)

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that matter in dispute is covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in FAO No.1600 of 2015 decided on 18.12.2015. Copy thereof has been handed over.

It has been further brought to the notice that aforementioned

order has been passed on the basis of the order dated 04.12.2015, whereby matter has been remitted back to the Adjudicating Authority for deciding the controversy afresh in terms of ratio decidendi culled out by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in *M/s Golden Iron and Steel Forging Vs. Union of India and ors. 2011(4) RCR (Civil) 375*.

Keeping in view the aforementioned facts, present appeal is allowed. Order of the Arbitrator and as well as of Adjudicating Authority is set aside.

The matter is remitted back to Adjudicating Authority to decide the claim of the appellants-land owners afresh.

Parties are directed to appear before the Adjudicating Authority on 09.05.2016.

It is made clear that landowners/appellant shall be entitled to take up all possible reliefs with regard to all other aspects.

08.04.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**